



**SAN JOAQUIN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS**  
 555 E. Weber Avenue  
 Stockton, CA 95202

**SOCIAL SERVICES TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE**  
 And  
**INTERAGENCY TRANSIT COMMITTEE**

**Monday, February 27, 2017 at 3:00 PM**

**Board Conference Room**

**Call-in Number: 1-650-479-3208**

**Access Code: 800 364 991**

**\*Callers, Mute the Call Unless Speaking\***

**AGENDA**

- |      |                                     |                  |
|------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| I.   | Call Meeting to Order/Introductions | <b>3:00 p.m.</b> |
| II.  | Approve Minutes: April 6, 2016      | <b>Action</b>    |
| III. | Public Comments                     |                  |

**STAFF ITEMS**

- |       |   |                             |
|-------|---|-----------------------------|
| IV.   | Commute Connection Enhanced Program Launch  | <b>Discussion</b>           |
| V.    | Unmet Transit Needs Update  | <b>Info/No Staff Report</b> |
| VI.   | Low Carbon Transit Operations Program<br>FY 16/17 Funding Recommendation  | <b>Action</b>               |
| VII.  | Direction for Utilizing the Interagency Transit Committee<br>for Carrying Out Recommendations from the AD HOC Committee | <b>Discussion</b>           |
| VIII. | Reports/Updates from Committee Members  | <b>Info/No Staff Report</b> |
| IX.   | Reports/Updates from Transit Providers  | <b>Info/No Staff Report</b> |
| X.    | Access Advisory Committee Update  | <b>Info/No Staff Report</b> |
| XI.   | Adjourn Meeting   |                             |

**SPECIAL ACCOMODATIONS** - The San Joaquin Council of Governments is in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and will make all reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities to participate in employment, programs, and access facilities. Persons requiring assistance or auxiliary aid in order to participate should contact Rebecca Calija at 209-235-0600 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting.

**SJCOG Parking:** Parking in SJCOG Parking Lot is limited to “Visitor” parking spaces. If these parking spots are full, on street parking is available as well as public parking lots K and L. Public Parking Lot K is located on the west side of American Street, just south of Weber Avenue and Public Parking Lot L is located on American Street directly across from Parking Lot K. Parking charges will be the responsibility of the attendees and/or the meeting organizer. SJCOG does not validate parking tickets. Participants should be made aware of parking information before the day of the meeting. Absolutely no “double” parking in the COG parking lot. Cars parked in unauthorized areas will be towed away at owner’s expense.

# AGENDA ITEM 2



**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

# SOCIAL SERVICES TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (SSTAC)

**San Joaquin Council of Governments**  
**555 E Weber Avenue, Stockton, CA 95202**  
**Wednesday, April 6, 2016**

## MINUTES

### 1. **Call Meeting to Order/Introductions:**

The SSTAC meeting was called to order at 2:42 p.m. by Ms. Julia Tyack. Introductions were made.

#### **Committee Members Present:**

Daniela Romero	SJRTD
Denoris Motley	SJRTD
Nate Knodt	SJRTD
Melissa Ogren	Human Services Agency- Department of Aging
Julia Tyack	City of Lodi
Joni Bauer	Community Center for the Blind
Richard Demonte	UCP
Georgia Lantsberger	City of Manteca
Deborah Gurley	Transit user 60 years or older

#### **Committee Members Not Present:**

Nick Phan	Caltrans
John Andoh	City of Escalon
Jayne Pramod	City of Tracy
DeAnna Nava	UCP
Mary Bailey	UCP
Ann Fisler	San Joaquin ARC
Joga Singh	Community Center for the Blind
Robert Balderama	State Council on Development Disabilities
George Lewis	State Council on Development Disabilities
Joshua Swearigen	Caltrans
Vacant	Human Services Agency –Department of Aging

#### **SJCOG Staff Present:**

Daniel Meza	Associate Regional Planner
Rosemary Romero	Administrative Clerk II
Jonathan Spencer	Assistant Regional Planner
Kari McNickle	Assistant Regional Planner

### 2. **Approval of Minutes from December 1, 2015:**

It was moved/seconded (Bauer/Demonte) to approve the minutes of December 1, 2015. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

### 3. **Public Comment:**

None.

4. **FY 16/17 Unmet Transit Needs Final Report:**

Mr. Spencer provided information to the members in regard to the Unmet Transit needs for Fiscal Year 2016/2017. Mr. Spencer stated that SJCOG had completed the Final Analysis and briefed the members on the findings of the report by going through the report by the outcome of each city. A discussion ensued between the members of the committee, with Mr. Nate Knodt providing general information on certain transportation methods and systems.

It was moved/seconded (Bauer/Knodt) to approve the Finding that there are no Unmet Transit Needs that are Reasonable to Meet in San Joaquin County for Fiscal Year 2016-2017, and (2) Adopt and Approve the Analysis and Determination of Unmet Transit Needs for Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Draft Report. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

5. **Bike / Walk to Work Month 2016:**

Ms. Kari McNickle of Commute Connection (SJCOG staff) presented on the Bike / Walk to work Month and provided the members with the upcoming dates for various cities within San Joaquin County. Ms. McNickle told the members that Bike Month is to motivate and support commuters in making the transition from driving alone to a viable alternative such as biking or walking. This is accomplished through the partnerships and relationships established with local businesses, organizations and jurisdictions to offer events, incentives and prizes to encourage participation and action. Ms. McNickle shared various ways of participating in Bike Month other than riding a bike, and confirmed that the events are going on in both San Joaquin and Stanislaus Counties. Ms. McNickle invited the committee members to participate and to help spread the word.

This item was for information only, no action was taken.

6. **Reports/ Updates from Committee Members:**

Ms. Bauer shared with the members that on May 7, 2016, the Stockton Lions Club is putting on a Hawaiian and all you can eat breakfast for \$8.00, and also shared that the Health and Human Services and San Joaquin Public Health have formed a “Senior Walkability Committee” and invited the members to participate. Ms. Tyack provided the members with updates from the City of Lodi and shared that they are working on a real-time application for transit riders, including an ADA compliance automatic announcements and said she will update all when they are near completion. Ms. Tyack said once the summer season is in full force, and school is out, express routes will be reduced to one route and there will be extended services for Farmers Market.

This item was for information only, no action was taken.

7. **Reports/Updates from Transit Providers:**

Mr. Knodt told the committee that RTD will be running extra services for the Asparagus Festival and shared the routes with the members.

This item was for information only, no action was taken.

8. **Access Advisory Committee Update:**

None.

10. **Adjourn:**

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 3:21 p.m. to reconvene on June 3, 2016.

# AGENDA ITEM 4



**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**



February 2017  
SJCOG ITC/SSTAC

## STAFF REPORT

**SUBJECT:** Commute Connection Enhanced Program  
Launch

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Presentation

### SUMMARY



Launched almost 40 years ago, Commute Connection was established when times were a little different - offering carpooling as a single solution in response to the need for resource conservation in the 1970s during the energy crisis. As time went on, the program expanded the options to promote vanpooling, biking, walking, and riding transit.

Today, with major advances in technology, more mobility options, and a change in consumer expectations and travel habits, it was time for a new expression of the brand to be successful in connecting with the public and effecting change in the years ahead. As a result, Commute Connection launched the development of a new strategic plan and vision.

### BACKGROUND

The first phase of the plan was marked by the implementation of a new trip planning system in 2015. The enhanced system features a multi-modal trip planner, employer portal, mapping, system metrics and a commuter engagement module. Led by the marketing agency Crocker and Crocker in 2016, phase II consisted of stakeholder interviews, surveys, focus groups, and industry research conducted for the purpose of discovering valuable insights on the services and features to assist with strategy development. The outcome of this research supported the overall continuation of program services, but confirmed a need for change in order to reach diverse audiences. The result – a new name, logo, website, and strategic initiatives to expand awareness and increase participation.

The presentation will include insight from the research and the release of new program elements. So, while the look may be new, the core mission has not changed--to promote smart travel options in an effort to improve air quality, reduce congestion, and enhance overall quality of life.

*Prepared by: Yvette Davis, Sr. Program Specialist*

# AGENDA ITEM **6**



**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

February 2017  
SSTAC/ITC

## STAFF REPORT

**SUBJECT:** Low Carbon Transit Operations Program  
FY 16/17 Funding Recommendation

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Approve Low Carbon Transit Operations  
Program Funding Recommendation for  
Fiscal Year 2016/17

### SUMMARY:

The Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) is one of several programs funded as part of 2016-17 State of California budget (by Senate Bill 852 and Senate Bill 862) which have a goal of reduced greenhouse gas emissions and achievement of other benefits. For Fiscal Year (FY) 2016/2017, a total of \$323,443 in LCTOP funding was awarded to the San Joaquin County region via PUC 99313 distribution formula, for dispersal by SJCOG.

Based on the results of previous years' funding distribution, SJCOG staff recommends distributing the full amount of PUC 99313 LCTOP funds to the San Joaquin Regional Transit District (SJRTD) for FY 16/17.

### BACKGROUND:

LCTOP programs are funded by auction proceeds from the California Air Resource Board's Cap-and-Trade Program, with proceeds deposited into the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. Senate Bill 862 created the LCTOP and describes program goals and eligible projects. Approved projects will support new or expanded bus or rail services, or expanded intermodal transit facilities, and may include equipment acquisition, fueling, and maintenance and other costs to operate those services or facilities, with each project reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Senate Bill 535 requires programs funded from revenues in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund result in benefits to disadvantaged communities. The designation of "disadvantaged communities" is assigned to the California Environmental Protection Agency, and the establishment of guidelines for qualifying expenditures is assigned to the California Air Resources Board. The LCTOP will target grants so at least 50 percent of project expenditures will benefit disadvantaged communities in agencies that include communities designated as disadvantaged.

Caltrans, in coordination with the Air Resources Board, shall determine the eligibility of the proposed project based on the documentation provided by the recipient transit agency to ensure compliance with the guidelines. Caltrans will then notify the State Controller of approved expenditures for each transit agency, and the amount of the allocation for each agency determined to be available at the time of approval.

For FY 16/17, a total of \$323,443 was awarded to the San Joaquin County region via PUC 99313 distribution formula, for dispersal by SJCOG. This total represents a 53.6 percent reduction in available funds as compared to FY 15/16. This funding is intended to be awarded and utilized within FY 16/17 only.

*Funding History:*

**FY 14/15:** LCTOP funds were distributed to agencies based on their reported annual ridership levels. Over 80 percent of LCTOP funds were awarded to SJRTD based on their share of transit ridership in the region, and less than 20 percent was available to all other transit operators. However, with LCTOP being a relatively small fund source requiring a higher than usual level of administrative effort, and with a requirement that funding recipients be able to quantitatively demonstrate a greenhouse gas reduction, most transit operators in the region elected not to pursue LCTOP funds in FY 14/15.

**FY 15/16:** Given that LCTOP is a relatively small fund source with substantial administrative requirements, it was determined through discussions with transit operator staff as part of the Interagency Transit Committee that 100 percent of PUC 99313 LCTOP funds should be awarded to SJRTD in FY 15/16. SJRTD was determined to be the only agency that could make cost effective use of this funding source, given the amount of funding available.

Given the challenges associated with the use of LCTOP funds remain the same, and given the funding estimate for FY 16/17 is 53.6 percent lower than in FY 15/16, SJCOG staff is recommending the full amount of PUC 99313 LCTOP funds (i.e., \$323,443) be awarded to SJRTD for FY 16/17.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

SJCOG staff is recommending the full amount of PUC 99313 LCTOP funds (i.e., \$323,443) be awarded to SJRTD for FY 16/17.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

The approval of the proposed distribution of PUC 99313 LCTOP funds for FY 16/17 would directly assign \$323,443 to SJRTD.

**Attachments:**

1. LCTOP Allocation Letter



**BETTY T. YEE**  
**California State Controller**

February 1, 2017

County Auditors  
Transportation Planning Agencies  
County Transportation Commissions  
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System

**SUBJECT: Low Carbon Transit Operations Program.**

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 39719(b)(1)(B), the State Controller's Office shall allocate five percent of the annual proceeds from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program. The allocation is made according to the requirements of the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program and pursuant to the distribution formula in sections 99312(b) or (c), 99313, and 99314.8 of the Public Utilities Code. Enclosed is a schedule that provides the amounts available for the Fiscal Year 2016-17 Low Carbon Transit Operations Program.

Please contact John Bodolay by telephone at (916) 323-2154 or by email at [jbodolay@sco.ca.gov](mailto:jbodolay@sco.ca.gov) with any questions or for additional information.

Sincerely,

*(Original Signed)*

JIM REISINGER  
Manager

Enclosure

**STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE**  
**LOW CARBON TRANSIT OPERATIONS PROGRAM**  
**ELIGIBLE ALLOCATION FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 SUMMARY**

Regional Entity	PUC 99313 Fiscal Year 2016-17 Eligible Allocation*	PUC 99314.8 Fiscal Year 2016-17 Eligible Allocation	Total Fiscal Year 2016-17 Eligible Allocation
TRPA	\$ 44,261	\$ 3,471	\$ 47,732
MTC	3,373,683	9,642,363	13,016,046
SACOG	829,799	396,522	1,226,321
Alpine	514	35	549
Amador	16,630	976	17,606
Butte	99,056	6,296	105,352
Calaveras	19,938	0	19,938
Colusa	9,680	453	10,133
Del Norte	11,824	942	12,766
El Dorado	71,309	7,619	78,928
Fresno	434,212	53,277	487,489
Glenn	12,643	0	12,643
Humboldt	59,590	10,703	70,293
Imperial	81,957	7,355	89,312
Inyo	8,225	0	8,225
Kern	390,976	33,329	424,305
Kings	66,319	3,522	69,841
Lake	28,361	2,423	30,784
Lassen	13,575	979	14,554
Los Angeles	4,516,732	5,008,114	9,524,846
Madera	68,513	0	68,513
Mariposa	8,009	26	8,035
Mendocino	38,977	2,901	41,878
Merced	119,774	6,151	125,925
Modoc	4,251	0	4,251
Mono	6,051	11,913	17,964
Monterey	192,808	31,163	223,971
Nevada	43,263	1,759	45,022
Orange	1,403,802	483,847	1,887,649
Placer	130,324	23,158	153,482
Plumas	8,767	0	8,767
Riverside	1,035,461	198,363	1,233,824
San Benito	24,983	0	24,983
San Bernardino	943,613	213,566	1,157,179
SANDAG	360,563	181,571	542,134
San Diego MTS	1,089,812	606,468	1,696,280
San Joaquin	323,443	74,300	397,743
San Luis Obispo	122,596	11,822	134,418
Santa Barbara	197,015	68,288	265,303
Santa Cruz	121,681	121,609	243,290
Shasta	78,764	6,675	85,439
Sierra	1,413	0	1,413
Siskiyou	19,731	1,362	21,093
Stanislaus	238,250	17,599	255,849
Tehama	28,197	0	28,197
Trinity	6,028	383	6,411
Tulare	205,669	24,083	229,752
Tuolumne	24,213	0	24,213
Ventura	377,745	47,614	425,359
State Totals	<u>\$ 17,313,000</u>	<u>\$ 17,313,000</u>	<u>\$ 34,626,000</u>

\*PUC 99313 allocations are based on population figures from the Department of Finance, *E-1 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State, January 1, 2015 and 2016*.

**STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE  
LOW CARBON TRANSIT OPERATIONS PROGRAM  
FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 PUC 99314.8 ALLOCATION DETAIL**

Regional Entity and Operator(s)	Revenue Basis <sup>1</sup>	Fiscal Year 2016-17 Allocation	Adjustments from Fiscal Year 2015-16 Allocation <sup>2</sup>	Fiscal Year 2016-17 Eligible Allocation
TRPA				
Tahoe Transportation District	\$ 734,260	\$ 3,471	\$ 0	3,471
MTC				
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District, City of San Francisco, and San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District*	1,423,910,829	6,731,662	(1235)	6,730,427
Alameda County Congestion Management Agency - Corresponding to Altamont Corridor Express (ACE)	NA	24,163	(4)	24,159
Central Contra Costa Transit Authority	12,019,260	56,822	(10)	56,812
City of Dixon	93,249	441	0	441
Eastern Contra Costa Transit Authority	5,566,485	26,316	(4)	26,312
City of Fairfield	2,348,818	11,104	(2)	11,102
Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District	94,134,652	445,030	(81)	444,949
City of Healdsburg	9,859	47	0	47
Livermore-Amador Valley Transit Authority	4,858,325	22,968	(4)	22,964
Marin County Transit District	17,532,740	82,888	(15)	82,873
Napa County Transportation and Planning Agency	1,214,077	5,740	(1)	5,739
Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board	106,342,764	502,745	(93)	502,652
City of Petaluma	272,671	1,289	0	1,289
City of Rio Vista	21,444	101	0	101
San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority (WETA)	25,874,414	122,324	(23)	122,301
San Mateo County Transit District	65,399,995	309,184	(57)	309,127
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority	251,622,009	1,189,565	(218)	1,189,347
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority - Corresponding to Altamont Corridor Express (ACE)	NA	25,867	(4)	25,863
City of Santa Rosa	2,669,412	12,620	(2)	12,618
Solano County Transit (SOLTRANS)	5,483,742	25,925	(5)	25,920
County of Sonoma	2,890,269	13,664	(3)	13,661
City of Union City	821,901	3,886	(1)	3,885
Western Contra Costa Transit Authority	6,298,928	29,779	(5)	29,774
Regional Entity Totals	2,029,385,843	9,644,130	(1767)	9,642,363
Sacramento Area Council of Governments				
City of Davis	2,735,100	12,930	(3)	12,927
City of Elk Grove	1,651,977	7,810	(2)	7,808
City of Folsom	449,780	2,126	0	2,126
Sacramento Regional Transit System	73,413,234	347,067	(64)	347,003
Yolo County Transportation District	4,191,387	19,815	(3)	19,812
Yuba Sutter Transit Authority	1,448,569	6,848	(2)	6,846
Regional Entity Totals	83,890,047	396,596	(74)	396,522
Alpine				
County of Alpine	7,412	35	0	35

\* The amounts for Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District, City of San Francisco, and San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District are combined.

<sup>1</sup> From the revised Fiscal Year 2014-15 fourth quarter State Transit Assistance allocation.

<sup>2</sup> Due to omission of Mountain Area Regional Transit Authority in Fiscal Year 2015-16.



**STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE  
LOW CARBON TRANSIT OPERATIONS PROGRAM  
FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 PUC 99314.8 ALLOCATION DETAIL**

Regional Entity and Operator(s)	Revenue Basis <sup>1</sup>	Fiscal Year 2016-17 Allocation	Adjustments from Fiscal Year 2015-16 Allocation <sup>2</sup>	Fiscal Year 2016-17 Eligible Allocation
Amador				
Amador Regional Transit System	206,370	976	0	976
Butte				
Butte Regional Transit	1,331,994	6,297	(1)	6,296
Calaveras	None	None	None	None
Colusa				
County of Colusa	95,802	453	0	453
Del Norte				
Redwood Coast Transit Authority	199,346	942	0	942
El Dorado				
El Dorado County Transit Authority	1,611,946	7,621	(2)	7,619
Fresno				
City of Clovis	991,774	4,689	(1)	4,688
City of Fresno	9,099,469	43,019	(8)	43,011
Fresno County Rural Transit Agency	1,180,046	5,579	(1)	5,578
Regional Entity Totals	11,271,289	53,287	(10)	53,277
Glenn	None	None	None	None
Humboldt				
City of Arcata	218,708	1,034	0	1,034
City of Eureka	520,348	2,460	(1)	2,459
City of Fortuna	12,167	58	0	58
Humboldt Transit Authority	1,512,987	7,153	(1)	7,152
Regional Entity Totals	2,264,210	10,705	(2)	10,703
Imperial				
City of Imperial	12,261	58	0	58
Imperial County Transportation Commission (ICTC)	1,448,802	6,849	(1)	6,848
Imperial County Transportation Commission (ICTC)-Specialized Service	94,946	449	0	449
Regional Entity Totals	1,556,009	7,356	(1)	7,355
Inyo	None	None	None	None
Kern				
City of Arvin	82,801	391	0	391
City of California City	25,921	123	0	123
City of Delano	127,153	601	0	601
Golden Empire Transit District	5,180,220	24,490	(5)	24,485
County of Kern	956,498	4,522	0	4,522
City of Ridgecrest	273,697	1,294	0	1,294
City of Shafter	52,932	250	0	250
City of Taft	320,284	1,514	0	1,514
City of Tehachapi	4,964	23	0	23
City of Wasco	26,695	126	0	126
Regional Entity Totals	7,051,165	33,334	(5)	33,329

<sup>1</sup> From the revised Fiscal Year 2014-15 fourth quarter State Transit Assistance allocation.

<sup>2</sup> Due to omission of Mountain Area Regional Transit Authority in Fiscal Year 2015-16.

**STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE  
LOW CARBON TRANSIT OPERATIONS PROGRAM  
FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 PUC 99314.8 ALLOCATION DETAIL**

Regional Entity and Operator(s)	Revenue Basis <sup>1</sup>	Fiscal Year 2016-17 Allocation	Adjustments from Fiscal Year 2015-16 Allocation <sup>2</sup>	Fiscal Year 2016-17 Eligible Allocation
<b>Kings</b>				
City of Corcoran	83,022	392	0	392
Kings County Area Public Transit Agency	662,327	3,131	(1)	3,130
Regional Entity Totals	745,349	3,523	(1)	3,522
<b>Lake</b>				
Lake Transit Authority	512,637	2,424	(1)	2,423
<b>Lassen</b>				
County of Lassen	207,265	980	(1)	979
<b>Los Angeles</b>				
Antelope Valley Transit Authority	11,600,259	54,841	(10)	54,831
City of Arcadia	1,488,267	7,036	(1)	7,035
City of Claremont	528,137	2,497	(1)	2,496
City of Commerce	3,037,657	14,361	(2)	14,359
City of Culver City	5,546,896	26,223	(5)	26,218
Foothill Transit Zone	50,067,995	236,701	(43)	236,658
City of Gardena	10,886,212	51,466	(10)	51,456
City of La Mirada	794,404	3,756	0	3,756
Long Beach Public Transportation Company	50,054,148	236,635	(43)	236,592
City of Los Angeles	64,833,533	306,506	(56)	306,450
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	684,229,820	3,234,761	(595)	3,234,166
City of Montebello	17,462,075	82,553	(15)	82,538
City of Norwalk	5,000,279	23,639	(4)	23,635
City of Redondo Beach	1,957,575	9,255	(1)	9,254
City of Redondo Beach - Specialized Service	496,898	2,349	(1)	2,348
City of Santa Monica	37,806,957	178,736	(33)	178,703
Southern California Regional Rail Authority	198,642,344			
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority		478,220	(88)	478,132
Orange County Transportation Authority		**	**	**
Riverside County Transportation Commission		**	**	**
San Bernardino Associated Governments		**	**	**
Ventura County Transportation Commission		**	**	**
City of Torrance	12,585,207	59,498	(11)	59,487
Regional Entity Totals	1,157,018,663	5,009,033	(919)	5,008,114
<b>Madera</b>				
None	None	None	None	None
<b>Mariposa</b>				
County of Mariposa	5,605	26	0	26
<b>Mendocino</b>				
Mendocino Transit Authority	613,796	2,902	(1)	2,901
<b>Merced</b>				
Transit Joint Powers Authority of Merced County	957,565	4,527	(1)	4,526
Transit Joint Powers Authority of Merced County - Specialized Service	343,651	1,625	0	1,625
Regional Entity Totals	1,301,216	6,152	(1)	6,151

\*\* The amounts allocated to the member agencies of Southern California Regional Rail Authority are included with their corresponding regional transportation authority.

<sup>1</sup> From the revised Fiscal Year 2014-15 fourth quarter State Transit Assistance allocation.

<sup>2</sup> Due to omission of Mountain Area Regional Transit Authority in Fiscal Year 2015-16.

**STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE  
LOW CARBON TRANSIT OPERATIONS PROGRAM  
FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 PUC 99314.8 ALLOCATION DETAIL**

Regional Entity and Operator(s)	Revenue Basis <sup>1</sup>	Fiscal Year 2016-17 Allocation	Adjustments from Fiscal Year 2015-16 Allocation <sup>2</sup>	Fiscal Year 2016-17 Eligible Allocation
Modoc	None	None	None	None
Mono				
Eastern Sierra Transit Authority	2,520,455	11,916	(3)	11,913
Monterey				
Monterey-Salinas Transit	6,593,103	31,169	(6)	31,163
Nevada				
County of Nevada	372,189	1,760	(1)	1,759
Orange				
City of Laguna Beach	837,317	3,958	(1)	3,957
Orange County Transportation Authority	51,846,969	245,111	(45)	245,066
Orange County Transportation Authority - Corresponding to Southern California Regional Rail Authority	NA	234,867	(43)	234,824
Regional Entity Totals	52,684,286	483,936	(89)	483,847
Placer				
City of Auburn	30,773	145	0	145
City of Lincoln	47,819	226	0	226
County of Placer	3,817,667	18,048	(3)	18,045
City of Roseville	1,003,328	4,743	(1)	4,742
Regional Entity Totals	4,899,587	23,162	(4)	23,158
Plumas	None	None	None	None
Riverside				
City of Banning	166,401	787	0	787
City of Beaumont	217,527	1,028	(1)	1,027
City of Corona	410,562	1,941	0	1,941
Palo Verde Valley Transit Agency	102,483	484	(1)	483
City of Riverside	413,473	1,955	0	1,955
Riverside County Transportation Commission - Corresponding to Southern California Regional Rail Authority	NA	71,030	(13)	71,017
Riverside Transit Agency	15,363,406	72,632	(13)	72,619
Sunline Transit Agency	10,268,040	48,534	(9)	48,534
Regional Entity Totals	26,941,892	198,400	(37)	198,363
San Benito	None	None	None	None
San Bernardino				
Morongo Basin Transit Authority	358,711	1,696	0	1,696
Mountain Area Regional Transit Authority	310,345	1,467	3178	4,645
Omnitrans	14,772,716	69,839	(13)	69,826
San Bernardino Associated Governments - Corresponding to Southern California Regional Rail Authority	NA	126,149	(24)	126,125
Victor Valley Transit Service Authority	2,385,135	11,276	(2)	11,274
Regional Entity Totals	17,826,907	210,427	3139	213,566
SANDAG				
North San Diego County Transit District	38,413,916	181,605	(34)	181,571

<sup>1</sup> From the revised Fiscal Year 2014-15 fourth quarter State Transit Assistance allocation.

<sup>2</sup> Due to omission of Mountain Area Regional Transit Authority in Fiscal Year 2015-16.

**STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE  
LOW CARBON TRANSIT OPERATIONS PROGRAM  
FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 PUC 99314.8 ALLOCATION DETAIL**

Regional Entity and Operator(s)	Revenue Basis <sup>1</sup>	Fiscal Year 2016-17 Allocation	Adjustments from Fiscal Year 2015-16 Allocation <sup>2</sup>	Fiscal Year 2016-17 Eligible Allocation
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System	128,306,505	606,580	(112)	606,468
San Joaquin				
Altamont Corridor Express (ACE)	14,985,239			
Alameda County Congestion Management Agency		***	***	***
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority		***	***	***
San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission		20,814	(4)	20,810
City of Lodi	642,458	3,037	0	3,037
City of Ripon	3,682	17	0	17
San Joaquin Regional Transit District	10,670,445	50,445	(9)	50,436
Regional Entity Totals	26,301,824	74,313	(13)	74,300
San Luis Obispo				
City of Atascadero	79,555	376	0	376
City of Morro Bay	41,060	194	0	194
City of San Luis Obispo	694,712	3,284	0	3,284
San Luis Obispo Regional Transit Authority	1,537,144	7,267	(1)	7,266
South County Area Transit	148,678	703	(1)	702
Regional Entity Totals	2,501,149	11,824	(2)	11,822
Santa Barbara				
City of Guadalupe	98,569	466	0	466
City of Lompoc	1,310,330	6,195	(1)	6,194
County of Santa Barbara	120,242	568	0	568
Santa Barbara Metropolitan Transit District	11,854,760	56,044	(11)	56,033
City of Santa Maria	957,312	4,526	(1)	4,525
City of Solvang	106,247	502	0	502
Regional Entity Totals	14,447,460	68,301	(13)	68,288
Santa Cruz				
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District	25,727,890	121,631	(22)	121,609
Shasta				
Redding Area Bus Authority	1,412,224	6,676	(1)	6,675
Sierra	None	None	None	None
Siskiyou				
County of Siskiyou	288,176	1,362	0	1,362
Stanislaus				
City of Modesto	3,054,372	14,440	(2)	14,438
County of Stanislaus	514,307	2,431	(1)	2,430
City of Turlock	154,612	731	0	731
Regional Entity Totals	3,723,291	17,602	(3)	17,599
Tehama	None	None	None	None

\*\*\* The amounts allocated to the member agencies of Altamont Corridor Express (ACE) are included with their corresponding regional transportation authority.

<sup>1</sup> From the revised Fiscal Year 2014-15 fourth quarter State Transit Assistance allocation.

<sup>2</sup> Due to omission of Mountain Area Regional Transit Authority in Fiscal Year 2015-16.

**STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE  
LOW CARBON TRANSIT OPERATIONS PROGRAM  
FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 PUC 99314.8 ALLOCATION DETAIL**

<u>Regional Entity and Operator(s)</u>	<u>Revenue Basis<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Fiscal Year 2016-17 Allocation</u>	<u>Adjustments from Fiscal Year 2015-16 Allocation<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>Fiscal Year 2016-17 Eligible Allocation</u>
Trinity				
County of Trinity	81,201	384	(1)	383
Tulare				
City of Exeter	12,722	60	0	60
City of Porterville	744,031	3,517	0	3,517
City of Tulare	538,259	2,545	0	2,545
County of Tulare	459,363	2,172	0	2,172
City of Visalia	3,340,351	15,792	(3)	15,789
Regional Entity Totals	<u>5,094,726</u>	<u>24,086</u>	<u>(3)</u>	<u>24,083</u>
Tuolumne	None	None	None	None
Ventura				
Gold Coast Transit	3,974,399	18,789	(3)	18,786
Ventura County Transportation Commission - Corresponding to Southern California Regional Rail Authority	NA	28,834	(6)	28,828
Regional Entity Totals	<u>3,974,399</u>	<u>47,623</u>	<u>(9)</u>	<u>47,614</u>
State Totals	<u>\$ 3,662,121,404</u>	<u>\$ 17,313,000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>17,313,000</u>

<sup>1</sup> From the revised Fiscal Year 2014-15 fourth quarter State Transit Assistance allocation.

<sup>2</sup> Due to omission of Mountain Area Regional Transit Authority in Fiscal Year 2015-16.

# AGENDA ITEM 7



**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

2/2017  
SSTAC/ITC

# STAFF REPORT

**SUBJECT:** Direction for Utilizing the Interregional Transit Committee for Carrying Out Recommendations from the AD HOC Committee

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Discussion

## DISCUSSION:

SJCOG has used a committee called the Interregional Transit Committee (ITC) to coordinate transit related policies and practices among all transit providers in San Joaquin County. The committee has grown somewhat moribund over the last year and we are looking to revitalize it and make it of value to the participants. One of the ways we are suggesting is to utilize it as both a sounding board and as an implementation arm of the Ad Hoc committee. As we look for ways to coordinate functional activities among our transit providers we will need to assure that the proposals actually work for the participants and that they can guide us to the most efficient and effective implementation.

The committee is presently made up of the San Joaquin Regional Transit District, the San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission, and transit staff from Ripon, Escalon, Tracy, Manteca, and Lodi. The Public Works Departments for the County of San Joaquin and the City of Lathrop are also members but have not really attended.

The committee meetings have often been scheduled with the Social Service Transportation Advisory Committee, but SJCOG staff is recommending that be discontinued for this extensive coordination effort. SJCOG staff is also recommending that the committee meetings be scheduled about ten days after the AD HOC committee in order to pass direction along to the ITC members, and to provide the committee enough time before the next meeting of the AD HOC to come back with ideas and recommendations.

## RECOMMENDATION: SJCOG staff is recommending:

- 1. The ITC be used as the sounding board and implementation arm of the AD HOC Committee.**
- 2. The ITC meet approximately ten days after the AD HOC**
- 3. SJCOG staff reach out to all agencies not currently attending ITC meetings and get their commitment to serve as members on the ITC (City of Stockton, San Joaquin County, City of Lathrop)**